

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,162	08/05/2003	Michael William Wilson	PC25239A	6343
28880 . 7	7590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY			HUANG, EVELYN MEI	
2800 PLYMOUTH RD ANN ARBOR, MI 48105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/634,162	WILSON, MICHAE	EL WILLIAM			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Evelyn Huang	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 slx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the day attend term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX attatute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)	4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction as	ad/or election requiremen	At				
0)[Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction at	id/or election requiremen	it.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Ex-						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
' '/-:	The ball of declaration is objected to by	ille Examiner. Note the a	tached Office Action of form 1.1	0-132.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage			
	application from the International E	•		Glage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for						
		·					

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/634,162

Art Unit: 1625

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7 in part, drawn to a thienopyridine or thiazolopyridine compound, classified in class 546, subclass 114.
 - II. Claims 1-7 in part, drawn to a thienopyrimidine or thiazolopyrimidine compound, classified in class 544, subclass 278 or 255.
 - III. Claims 1-7 in part, drawn to a compound not included in Group I or II, classified in class 546, subclass various dependent on the species elected.
 - IV. Claims 8-12, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition for treatment of a condition, classified in class 514, subclass various dependent on the species elected, and the method of use.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The compound of Group I, the compound of Group II and the compound of Group III are chemically, structurally and patentably distinct. They have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification. A reference anticipating the thienopyridine or thiazolopyridine compound of Group I would not render obvious the thienopyrimidine or thiazolopyrimidine compound of Group II, or the oxazolopyridine, diazolopyridine or indolizine compound of Group III.

Inventions I-III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the inventive product compound has multiple processes of use, such as in the treatment of infectious diseases, respiratory diseases, arthritis etc etc.

The search is not co-extensive and is therefore burdensome. Since the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,162

Art Unit: 1625

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Purchase on 4-7-2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include *an* election of the invention and a species within the elected invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Application/Control Number: 10/634,162

Art Unit: 1625

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn Huang whose telephone number is 571-272-0686. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Evelyn Huang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625
